

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
NOT FOR CITATION
910 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA12 HAROLD WALKER,) No. C 07-04228 JF (PR)
13 Plaintiff,)
14 vs.) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
15 JAMES TILTON, et al.,)
16 Defendants.)
17 _____18
19 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the instant civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
20 § 1983. On February 22, 2008, the Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend. Plaintiff
21 filed a motion for an extension of time to file an amended complaint, which the Court granted by
22 order filed April 7, 2008. On April 11, 2008, the copy of the order granting the extension of time
23 mailed to Plaintiff was returned by mail to the Clerk of the Court as undeliverable because
24 Plaintiff was paroled and no longer in custody. (Docket No. 11.) As of the date of this order,
25 Plaintiff has not updated his address with the Court or submitted any further pleadings in this
26 case.27 Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding pro se must promptly
28 file a notice of change of address while an action is pending. See L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may,

1 without prejudice, dismiss a complaint when: (1) mail directed to the pro se party by the Court
2 has been returned to the Court as not deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty
3 days of this return a written communication from the pro se party indicating a current address.

4 See L.R. 3-11(b).

5 More than sixty days have passed since the Court's order addressed to Plaintiff was
6 returned as undeliverable. The Court has not received a notice from Plaintiff of a new address.
7 Accordingly, the instant civil rights action is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Rule 3-
8 11 of the Northern District Local Rules.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: 7/18/08



JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28